

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Br. v. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 WWW.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/689,543	10/21/2003	Lionel Fomperie	Q77844	9319
23373	7590 02/08/2005		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			WYROZEBSKI LEE, KATARZYNA I	
SUITE 800	SILVANIA AVENUE, N.W	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20037	•	1714	
			DATE MAILED: 02/08/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			ih.	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Antique Com		10/689,543	FOMPERIE ET AL.	
Office Action Sun	imary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Katarzyna Wyrozebski	1714	
The MAILING DATE of the Period for Reply	is communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS - Extensions of time may be available under after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing da - If the period for reply specified above is let - If NO period for reply is specified above, th - Failure to reply within the set or extended Any reply received by the Office later than earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	COMMUNICATION. the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(te of this communication. ss than thirty (30) days, a reply w e maximum statutory period will period for reply will, by statute, ca three months after the mailing day	(a). In no event, however, may a reply ithin the statutory minimum of thirty (3 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH ause the application to become ABAN	be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1) Responsive to communic	ation(s) filed on <u>18 Nov</u>	rember 2004.		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL.	2b)⊡ This a	ction is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in	condition for allowanc	e except for formal matters	s, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with	the practice under Ex	parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-15</u> is/are pen	ding in the application.		-	
4a) Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawr	from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allo	wed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-15</u> is/are reje	cted.	,		
7) Claim(s) is/are obj	ected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subje	ct to restriction and/or e	election requirement.		
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is object	ed to by the Examiner.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on	is/are: a)∏ accep	ted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request the	at any objection to the dra	awing(s) be held in abeyance	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet	(s) including the correction	n is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is	objected to by the Exar	miner. Note the attached C	ffice Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		,		
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ 1.☐ Certified copies of t		•	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
	•	nave been received in App	lication No. 09/866836.	
. _	·		ceived in this National Stage	
application from the	International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed (Office action for a list of	the certified copies not rec	eived.	
Attachment(s)		A) 🗆 (===================================	(DTO 442)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi 			mary (PTO-413) lail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (5) Notice of Infor	mal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date		6)		

In view of applicant's amendment to the claims and arguments presented on 11/18/2004 following office action is final. All the rejections of record are incorporated here by reference.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by PINNAVAIA (US 5,866,645).

The discussion of the disclosure of the prior art of PINNAVAIA from paragraph 2 of the office action mailed on 8/20/2004 is incorporated here by reference.

In the response filed on 11/18/2004 the applicants have argued following:

a) Bridging is not equivalent to intercalation. Specifically the metal compound forms chemical link with the platelets of the clay.

With respect to the above argument, the examiner carefully reviewed the prior art and compared the process disclosed therein with the process of present invention. In prior art of

Application/Control Number: 10/689,543

Art Unit: 1714

PINNAVAIA, clay component is treated with ammonium compound and with alkali metal or alkali earth metal to result in mixed organic/inorganic intercalated clay. In examples of the present invention, the applicants treat the clay component with metallic compound (example 3) and then so treated clay is utilized in example 5, where the applicants further treat it with ammonium. Applicants also have clay component intercalated with both organic and inorganic components. In both cases the polymer component is mixed with the treated clay and at the end results in intercalation.

The question that the examiner has is if after intercalation of the ammonium component is the clay actually bridged or do the chemical bonds between metal component and clay actually break, which would result in the process of PINNAVAIA. There is no clear indication that different products are made. The prior art of PINNAVAIA will be further reconsidered upon applicant's response.

3. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 10/689,543 Page 4

Art Unit: 1714

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Katarzyna Wyrozebski whose telephone number is (571) 272-1127. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 6:30 AM-4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Katarzyna Wyrozebski

Primary Examiner/

Art Unit 1714

February 2, 2005